



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/982,589	10/17/2001	Tuomo Hokkanen	975.365USW1	975.365USW1 7571	
32294 7	7590 09/29/2004	* .	EXAM	EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			YUN, EU	YUN, EUGENE	
14TH FLOOR					
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TYSONS COR	NER, VA 22182		2682		

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/982,589	HOKKANEN, TUOM	О		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	·		
	Eugene Yun	2682			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 05 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ind an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•			
10. ☐ Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/982,589

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/5/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the combination of the Dennison reference and the Kim reference does not teach "deciding on the basis of the result of said processing of said location information, whether a first handover condition based on said location information is fulfilled or not, wherein said first handover condition is based on said location information and indicates that a handover is necessary for establishing or maintaining the communication between the mobile station and the communication network". The examiner disagrees.

The argument states that the handoff decision described in Kim does not lead directly to a handover to another base station; rather it is directed to a handoff between frequencies. Looking further into the Kim reference, the examiner points out that while the handoff in the Kim reference is directed to a handoff between frequencies, the second frequency is supported by a second base station meaning that the handoff is also between base stations as stated in Claim 1 in the reference.

The argument also states that the combination of Dennison and Kim fails to disclose or suggest first and second handover conditions, respectively, which specifically indicate that a handover is necessary for establishing or maintaining the communication between the mobile station and the communication network. The Kim reference clearly shows two handover conditions including one based on location

Application/Control Number: 09/982,589

Art Unit: 2682

information. When referring to fig. 2, it is clearly shown that there is a handoff condition based on location information (s180) and a handoff condition based on signal strength (s170) which decide whether a handoff is necessary.

The applicant argues that the combination of the Dennison references does not teach "designating a next base transceiver station in said communication network, to which the communications with said mobile station is to be directed from a current base transceiver station, when the first handover or the second handover condition is fulfilled". According to fig. 2 of the Dennison reference, each cell site (11) has one base station (16). It is known in the art that that base station is the fixed transceiver station which communicates with all mobile units within its respective cell site. Therefore, when a handoff is taking place between two cell sites, the handoff is in addition, taking place between two base stations. Therefore, the Dennison reference teaches "designating a next base transceiver station in said communication network, to which the communications with said mobile station is to be directed from a current base transceiver station, when the first handover or the second handover condition is fulfilled".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (703) 305-2689. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:30pm Alt. Fridays off.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/982,589

Art Unit: 2682

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (703) 308-6739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene Yun Examiner Art Unit 2682

EY

LESTER G. KINCAID
PRIMARY EXAMINER